## ERRATA

# Cured Polyesters Based upon Bisacid A2: Water Absorption, Toluene Resistance and Resistance to $5 \%$ Aqueous $\mathbf{H N O}_{3}$ and NaOH 

R. S. LENK, Polytechnic of the South Bank, London, SE 1 OAA, England
(article in J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 17, 821, 1973)
In the graphs on water absorption of the recently published paper entitled: "Cured polyesters. . ." the water absorption was erroneously given in percent instead of in per mille. On correcting the absorption figures accordingly, the water absorption now confirms the range of values for cured polyester systems report: M. C. Slone, Ed., in SPE J., 16, 1123 (1960).

# The Color of Absorbing Scattering Substrates. I. The Color of Fabrics 

E. HOPE ALLEN and G. GOLDFINGER, North Carolina State University at Raleigh School of Textiles, Raleigh, North Carolina 27607
(article in J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 16, 2973, 1972)

There is an error in Figure 4, page 2978. The angle $\beta_{2}$ is not as marked but rather the angle between the indicent beam and the second refracted beam. On the scale of the figure this is close to $360^{\circ}$.

# Rheological Properties of Molten Poly(ethylene Terephthalate) and Melt Viscosities of Molten Poly(ethylene Terephthalate) Calculated from Modified Bueche-Harding Equation 

DALE R. GREGORY, Tennessee Eastman Company, Division of Eastman Research Laboratories, Kodak Company, Kingsport, Tennessee 37668
(articles in J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 16, 1479, 1972; J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 16, 1489, 1972)
The following errors have been found in the above papers. In the first, the coefficient of eq. (10) should be $3.8514 \times 10^{-8}$ instead of $4.89132 \times 10^{-8}$, and the coefficient of eq. (11) should be $5.04 \times 10^{4}$ instead of $4.8569 \times 10^{4}$. In the second, the coefficient of eq. (4) should be $3.8514 \times 10^{-8}$ instead of $4.89 \times 10^{-8}$.

The errors noted were not present in the original calculations but were made in reducing the equation to the form used in the papers. Viscosities given in the figures and tables of the two papers are correct as given. Thanks to Dr. V. Pechoc for his discovery of the errors.

